

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/797,637	RAMAMURTHY, ARJUN	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	IZUNNA OKEKE	2432	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) IZUNNA OKEKE. (3) \_\_\_\_.
- (2) PATRICK NIEDERMEIER. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 26 March 2012

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Issues Discussed ☒ 101 ☐ 112 ☐ 102 ☐ 103 ☐ Others  
(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: NA.

#### Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

Examiner and applicant's representative discussed the 101 issues with the "computer readable medium" recited in the claims. Examiner explained that the claims recite pixel directed to the computer readable medium and that the medium is being interpreted to comprise propagation signals. Examiner then suggested an amendment which excluded signals and waves from the claimed medium by adding the term "non-transitory" to the computer readable medium. Applicant's representative reviewed the proposal and gave authorization for the amendment.

**Applicant recodation instructions:** It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

**Examiner recodation instructions:** Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/IZUNNA OKEKE/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2432